### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 074 051

SP 006 277

AUTHOR

Beisenharz, Paul C.

TITLE

Research in Teacher Questioning Behavior: Past,

Present, and Future.

PUB DATE

Nov 72

NOTE

11p.; Paper presented to Annual Meeting of Mid-South Educational Research Association, New Orleans, La.,

Nov. 11, 1972

ECRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

\*Educational Research; Inquiry Training; \*Questioning

Techniques; \*Teacher Behavior; \*Teacher

Qualifications: \*Teaching Methods; Teaching

Techniques

#### ABSTRACT

This paper identifies the main findings, goals, and limitations of research related to teacher questioning behavior. It is based on a review of the literature and research conducted by the author who developed and utilized a multiple-category question classification system in 54 elementary school classrooms. A number of limitations that have hampered past research efforts are identified: the importance of teacher variables affecting questioning behavior -- age, background in the discipline under investigation, number of years teaching experience; adequate control of the content within which questions are asked; lack of utilization of model instructional strategies in the classification of questioning behavior; difficulty in the adequate sampling of teacher questioning behavior, use of unidimensional category system versus a multiple-category system; difficulty in the design of experimental studies involving analysis of questioning behavior; use of syntax versus context in the categorization of questions; and difficulty in generalizing from past research due to a lack of comparability of category systems and protocol determination within these systems. A 15-item bibliography is included. (Author/MJM)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.
EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY
REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

RESEARCH IN TEACHER QUESTIONING BEHAVIOR: PAST, PRESENT, AND FUTURE

Paul C. Beisenherz
College of Education
Louisiana State University in New Orleans

Paper Presented to the First Annual Meeting of the Mid-South Educational Research Association New Orleans, La., November 11, 1972

SP 006 277

### Research in Teacher Questioning Behavior: Past, Present, and Future

For the past 10 years there has been a renewed interest in one particular aspect of classroom interaction: teacher questioning behavior. The importance of this behavior has led educators to examine many of the variables related to the effectiveness of teacher questioning. Several reviews of the literature, Beisenherz (1971), Clegg (1972), Gall (1971), Hunkins (1968), Hoetker and Ahlbrand (1969), Snyder (1966), Cunningham (1968), Kondo (1967), and Tucker (1971), have identified the major findings and short-comings of present research efforts.

This paper attempts to extract from these reviews of the literature specific limititions of past research in teacher questioning behavior and to provide recommendations for future research in this area.

The following limitations and recommendations appear warranted from an analysis of verbal questioning behavior of teachers during science instruction.

- 1. Importance of teacher variables on questioning behavior.
- A. Age and number of years of teaching experience: A number of conflicting findings make any interpretation difficult. The importance of these variables in the development and implementation of curricular materials in the classroom is difficult to ascertain.
- B. Science Background of the Teacher: The low relationships between the subject matter background and effectiveness of questioning behavior is surprising. It would be expected that there would be a high relationship between the number and quality of questions asked by a teacher using the ESS unit, <u>Batteries and Bulbs</u>, for example, and his degree of conceptual understanding of the specific topics of electricity and magnetism involved

in the lessons. Would it not be expected that the teacher's questioning effectiveness would be improved upon increased trials of the unit with children?

Recommendation: While interesting findings are sometimes obtained, the effect of the manipulation of these variables on the improvement of class-room questioning is vague at best.

### Control of Subject Content.

A study by Gallagher, et al. (1966) attempted to control the subjectmatter taught. Six teachers, using the Biological Sciences Curriculum
Study (BSCS) Blue Version, Molecules to Man, recorded on audio-tape each
of the classes in their discussion sections for three consecutive days
while teaching the subject of photosynthesis. From the analysis of the
verbal behavior of teachers and students involved in the study, Gallagher
(1967) concluded that:

there is really no such thing as a BSCS curriculum presentation . . . The substantial differences found in the teachers' verbal behavior in terms of goals and levels of abstraction begrest that the teachers have different approaches in terms of instructional strategy that result in different ideas and concepts being presented to students.

Although analysis of teacher questioning was not performed in this study, it illustrates the highly variable nature of teacher questioning behavior, within the same science content.

A basic problem in most studies in questioning in science involved the lack of adequate control of science content within which questions were asked. When the questioning behavior among groups of teachers was being compared, the lack of adequate delineation of science content made interpretation difficult, if not impossible. Gall (1971) stated that



"if the researcher is studying differences between teachers in questionasking skill or is studying improvement in this skill as a result of a
training program, the use of a constant lesson topic makes it possible
to attribute variance in question asking to the teachers rather than to
diff nces in the lessons."

Recommendation: Whenever possible, teachers or groups of teachers, should be compared on the basis of identical science content, e.g. identical lessons with identical objectives, activities, and instructional strategies.

### 3. Sampling of Teacher Questioning Behavior.

A related problem invokes the sampling of lessons for analysis. Characteristics of most studies was the lack of consideration for direct comparisons of specific content between teachers and groups. Also a potential problem in many studies was the lack of control of the number of questions per teacher. Because of the highly variable nature of each teacher's questioning behavior, comparisons between treatment groups may be affected because of the large number of certain question types asked by certain teachers within a group.

Recommendation: In maintaining consistency with Recommendation 2 above, if sample techniques are utilized in the selection of lessons to be analyzed, identical lessons should be selected for all teachers for all treatment groups. Also, the number of questions per teacher should be held constant.

## Dimensionality of Category Systems Utilized.

What kinds of questions should teachers ask during science instruction? Existing taxonomies attempt to classify questions that encompass only a few of the stated goals of science instruction. Although a majority of studies in science questioning behavior have involved question categorization using the Bloom model or Guilford model, representative category systems have also been developed by Beisenherz (1971), Kleiman, (1965), Fishchler (1967-8), Suchman (1966), and Tucker (1971). With the exception of studies conducted by Beisenherz and Tucker, all studies in science education have utilized uni-dimensional category systems, that is, the classification of a question one time into a category pertaining to one dimension, e.g. level of thinking, process skill emphasis.

Recommendation: Gall, in expressing concern for the limiting nature of existing systems, offered the following recommendation:

Prior to defining effective types of questions, the researcher needs to identify valued educational objectives in a specific setting. Once objectives are identified, the task of constructing questions which enable the student to reach each objective can be started. It would help in this task if groups of expert teachers and curriculum developers composed questions for each objective and then selected the most effective questions. In this type of research, effective question types would be defined in terms of whether or not they enabled the student to achieve desired educational objectives.

As these objectives would often include more than one dimension, a multicategory system is often appropriate for research purposes. Hence, a question could be categorized as being divergent, soliciting hypotheses from the students, and occurring during the exploration or application of a model instructional strategy.



# 5. Use of Model Instructional Strategies

In pursuing the issue of what questions teachers should ask, science educators seemed to place a higher value on "higher level" thinking questions. Gallagher (1965) raised an intriguing point when he suggested that the role played by teachers and pupils in phrasing factual, cognitive-memory questions, in addition to "productive thought" questions, was an essential one. He suggested that such questions must naturally exist in large numbers in order to allow the development of a broad base of information on which to act. He further stated that:

...(a) very respectable classroom (in terms of cognitive performance) can be operated without divergent thinking being requested at all. The same could not be said about cognitive-memory or convergent thinking responses.

The above suggests the importance of each of the components found in a category system such as the Bloom or Guilford model. It further implies a need to progress beyond the general question, 'What is the effect on pupil behavior of certain categorizations of teachers' questions?" to such questions as, "Given a teaching strategy particular to a specific discipline, e.g. science, what kinds of questions are more appropriate at each phase of that strategy?

This implies a weakness in current research in the analysis of questioning which Clegg (1971) clearly identified:

Category systems such as those described are useful for developing explanatory theory and for indicating the relatively low cognitive level of classroom operation. But to be of further use, prescriptive strategies must be developed (such as Taba has done) complete with eliciting questions which are designed to produce certain desired types of responses from students. Such theoretical strategies must then be validated by field testing to develop sufficient empirical evidence to support them. Otherwise, the untested theory degenerates to the present condition of hortative advice accompanied by little more than static, normative data.



Recommendation: If science educators can agree on one or more model instructional strategies that are consistent with learning theory and the nature of the scientific enterprise, formative and summative research and evaluation could be conducted on the development and implementation of science curricula containing such model question strategies.

# 6. Use of Syntax in the Categorization of Questions.

A problem encountered by the coder is the decision to classify each question on the basis of its syntax or its context within the lesson. Uncertainties over question types develop when questions are judged out of context. For example, the question, "How many different ways can you make carbon dioxide?" might seem to be a divergent type of question.

However, when this question is asked during a review of the lesson in which ways of making carbon dioxide had previously been identified, it would be a simple recall type of question. In short, syntax alone is not sufficient in determining the classification of a question.

Recommendation: Whenever possible, questions should be categorized with consideration of the context in which the questions were asked. This suggests that the investigator and coders must be familiar with the lesson, the questions, their sequence and the context in which they were asked.

# 7. Protocals for Categorizing Questions

The classification of questions into any system involves many difficult decisions concerning selection of the appropriate category. While some training manuals are available, most researchers are forced to establish their own set of protocols for their question analysis. This

practice seriously limits the comparability of their studies with others--even those utilizing the same category system(s).

Recommendation: A concerted effort should be made to identify category systems appropriate to the objectives of science instruction. Following this effort, training manuals should be developed and made available to the research community that contain complete coder training procedures, including an extensive set of protocols with sample questions. Also included should be suggestions and possible procedures for the determination of coder reliability.

## 8. Direction for Future Research

Analysis of teacher questioning behavior in past research studies has largely involved comparisons of mean proportions of question types among treatment groups. While information has been obtained on the relative emphasis of questioning behavior within the constraints of a particular variable, e.g. amount of training, type of program, the many teacher and student variables affecting concept development place a serious limitation on the importance of group data in the development of instructional strategies for the teaching of specific concepts.

One of the challenges to the educational researcher is to provide research that is meaningful for classroom teachers and administrators.

Gall (1971) suggested that following the identification of desirable educational objectives for science instruction, future research must evolve that is based on the types of questions that teachers should ask. If, as Clegg suggested, presciptive strategies must now be developed, it would appear that emphasis should be placed on individual teachers and

the effectiveness of their questioning strategies on their students in the teaching of particular concepts. This strategy implies three directions for investigation:

- a. Development and extensive trial testing of science lessons that contain key questions designed to achieve stated objectives and that are consistent with learning theory and the nature of science.
- b. Development in the prospective teacher the awareness of the role of questioning in the achievement of specific science objectives. Work in the university and public school classroom with science lessons containing exemplary questioning strategies would provide needed awareness. Can such experiences be identified that will involve a possible change in questioning behavior that will transfer to a future teaching assignment?
- c. In-service teacher education designed to train the teacher to more effectively implement the objectives and instructional strategies of the specific science materials and programs chosen by the school or the teacher. One measure of effectiveness would involve the analysis of his verbal questioning behavior. Does such training result in more effective utilization of the science program? Does the possible change in questioning behavior resulting from the training transfer to other science units and to other subject areas?

### References

- Beisenherz, Paul C. "An Experimental Study of a Televised Science Grades 1-4, Comparing the Quality and Sequence of Television and Classroom Questions with a Proposed Strategy of Science Instruction." Unpublished Doctor's dissertation, University of Washington, 1971.
- Clegg, Ambrose A. "Classroom Questions: Theory, Research and Application," Encyclopedia of Education. New York: Macmillan, 1971.
- 3. Cunningham, Roger T. "A Descriptive Study Determining the Effects of a Method of Instruction Designed to Improve the Question-Phrasing Practices of Prospective Elementary Teachers."
  Unpublished Doctor's dissertation, Indiana University, 1968.
- Fischler, Abraham S. "Implications of Structure in Elementary Science," <u>Science Education</u>, 53, 3(April, 1968), 277-283.
- Fischler, Abraham S. "Changes in Classroom Behavior Resulting from an In-Service Program Utilizing Television," <u>School Science</u> and <u>Mathematics</u>, 67 (April, 1967), 321-324.
- 6. Gall, Meredith D. "The Use of Questions in Teaching," Review of Educational Research, 40, 5(1971).
- 7. Gallagher, James J. "Teacher Variations in Concept Presentation in BSCS Curriculum Program," Biological Sciences Curriculum Study Newsletter No. 30. Boulder, Colorado: BSCS, January, 1967.
- 8. Gallagher, James J. Education and the Spirit of Science. Washington, D. C.: National Education Association, 1966.
- 9. Hoetker, James, and William P. Ahlbrand, Jr. "The Persistence of the Recitation, American Educational Research Journal, 6, 2 (March, 1969), pp. 145-167.
- 10. Hunkins, Francis P. "The Influence of Analysis and Evaluation Questions on Achievement and Critical Thinking in Sixth Grade Social Studies." Final Report. University of Washington, Seattle, Washington. Washington, D. C.: Office of Education (DHEW), Bureau of Research, 1968. (Microfiche Number, ED035790).
- Kleinmen, Gladys S. "Teacher's Questions and Student Understanding of Science," <u>Journal of Research in Science Teaching</u>, 3 (1965), pp. 307-317.

- 12. Kondo, Allan K. "A Study of the Questioning Behavior of Teachers in the S.C.I.S. Teaching the Unit on Material Objects."
  Unpublished Doctor's dissertation, University of California, Berkeley, California, 1967.
- 13. Snyder, William R. "The Question-Asking Behavior of Gifted Junior High School Students and Their Teachers." Unpublished Doctor's dissertation, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois, 1966.
- 14. Suchman, J. Richard. <u>Developing Inquiry</u>. Chicago: Science Research Associates, 1966.
- 15. Tucker, Jerry Lee. "The Effect of Televised Science Instruction on Verbal and Non-Verbal Process Behaviors of Teachers and Students in Grades 1-4." Unpublished Doctor's dissertation, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, 1971.